



FIDO UAF Registry of Predefined Values

FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard 20 October 2020

This version:

<https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-ps-20201020/fido-uaf-reg-v1.2-ps-20201020.html>

Previous version:

<https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-20180220/fido-uaf-reg-v1.2-id-20180220.html>

Editor:

[Dr. Rolf Lindemann](#), [Nok Nok Labs, Inc.](#)

Contributors:

Davit Baghdasaryan, [Nok Nok Labs, Inc.](#)

Brad Hill, [PayPal](#)

The English version of this specification is the only normative version. Non-normative [translations](#) may also be available.

Copyright © 2013-2020 [FIDO Alliance](#) All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document defines all the strings and constants reserved by UAF protocols. The values defined in this document are referenced by various UAF specifications.

Status of This Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current FIDO Alliance publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the [FIDO Alliance specifications index](#) at <https://fidoalliance.org/specifications/>.

This document was published by the [FIDO Alliance](#) as a Proposed Standard. If you wish to make comments regarding this document, please [Contact Us](#). All comments are welcome.

Implementation of certain elements of this Specification may require licenses under third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The FIDO Alliance, Inc. and its Members and any other contributors to the Specification are not, and shall not be held, responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

THIS FIDO ALLIANCE SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED “AS IS” AND WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY OF NON-INFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

This document has been reviewed by FIDO Alliance Members and is endorsed as a Proposed Standard. It is a stable document and may be used as reference material or cited from another document. FIDO Alliance's role in making the Recommendation is to draw attention to the specification and to promote its widespread deployment.

Table of Contents

- 1. [Notation](#)
 - 1.1 [Key Words](#)
- 2. [Overview](#)
- 3. [Authenticator Characteristics](#)
 - 3.1 [Assertion Schemes](#)
- 4. [Predefined Tags](#)
 - 4.1 [Tags used in the protocol](#)
- 5. [Predefined Extensions](#)
 - 5.1 [User Verification Method Extension](#)
 - 5.2 [User ID Extension](#)
 - 5.3 [Android SafetyNet Extension](#)
 - 5.4 [Android Key Attestation](#)
 - 5.5 [User Verification Caching](#)
 - 5.5.1 [UVC Request](#)
 - 5.5.2 [UVC Response](#)
 - 5.5.3 [Privacy Considerations](#)
 - 5.5.4 [Security Considerations](#)
 - 5.6 [Require Resident Key Extension](#)
 - 5.7 [Attestation Conveyance Extension](#)
- 6. [Other Identifiers specific to FIDO UAF](#)
 - 6.1 [FIDO UAF Application Identifier \(AID\)](#)
- A. [References](#)
 - A.1 [Normative references](#)
 - A.2 [Informative references](#)

1. Notation

Type names, attribute names and element names are written as `code`.

String literals are enclosed in “”, e.g. “UAF-TLV”.

In formulas we use “|” to denote byte wise concatenation operations.

UAF specific terminology used in this document is defined in [[FIDOGlossary](#)].

All diagrams, examples, notes in this specification are non-normative.

1.1 Key Words

The key words “**MUST**”, “**MUST NOT**”, “**REQUIRED**”, “**SHALL**”, “**SHALL NOT**”, “**SHOULD**”, “**SHOULD NOT**”, “**RECOMMENDED**”, “**MAY**”, and “**OPTIONAL**” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Overview

This section is non-normative.

This document defines the registry of UAF-specific constants that are used and referenced in various UAF specifications. It is expected that, over time, new constants will be added to this registry. For example new authentication algorithms and new types of authenticator characteristics will require new constants to be defined for use within the specifications.

FIDO-specific constants that are common to multiple protocol families are defined in [[FIDORegistry](#)].

3. Authenticator Characteristics

This section is normative.

3.1 Assertion Schemes

Names of assertion schemes are strings with a length of 8 characters.

UAF TLV based assertion scheme "UAFV1TLV"

This assertion scheme allows the authenticator and the FIDO Server to exchange an asymmetric authentication key generated by the authenticator. The authenticator **MUST** generate a key pair (UAuth.pub/UAuth.priv) to be used with algorithm suites listed in [FIDORegistry] section "Authentication Algorithms" (with prefix **ALG_**). This assertion scheme is using a compact Tag Length Value (TLV) encoding for the KRD and SignData messages generated by the authenticators. This is the default assertion scheme for the UAF protocol.

4. Predefined Tags

This section is normative.

The internal structure of UAF authenticator commands is a "Tag-Length-Value" (TLV) sequence. The tag is a 2-byte unique unsigned value describing the type of field the data represents, the length is a 2-byte unsigned value indicating the size of the value in bytes, and the value is the variable-sized series of bytes which contain data for this item in the sequence.

Although 2 bytes are allotted for the tag, only the first 14 bits (values up to 0x3FFF) should be used to accommodate the limitations of some hardware platforms.

A tag that has the 14th bit (0x2000) set indicates that it is critical and a receiver must abort processing the entire message if it cannot process that tag.

A tag that has the 13th bit (0x1000) set indicates a composite tag that can be parsed by recursive descent.

4.1 Tags used in the protocol

The following tags have been allocated for data types in UAF protocol messages:

TAG_UAFV1_REG_ASSERTION 0x3E01

The content of this tag is the authenticator response to a Register command.

TAG_UAFV1_AUTH_ASSERTION 0x3E02

The content of this tag is the authenticator response to a Sign command.

TAG_UAFV1_KRD 0x3E03

Indicates Key Registration Data.

TAG_UAFV1_SIGNED_DATA 0x3E04

Indicates data signed by the authenticator using UAuth.priv key.

TAG_APCV1CBOR_AUTH_ASSERTION 0x3E05

The content of this tag is the authenticator response to a Sign command.

TAG_APCV1CBOR_SIGNED_DATA 0x3E06

Indicates Android Protected Confirmation data signed by the authenticator using UAuth.priv key.

TAG_ATTESTATION_CERT 0x2E05

Indicates DER encoded attestation certificate.

TAG_SIGNATURE 0x2E06

Indicates a cryptographic signature.

TAG_KEYID 0x2E09

Represents a generated KeyID.

TAG_FINAL_CHALLENGE_HASH 0x2E0A

Represents a generated final challenge hash as defined in [[UAFProtocol](#)].

TAG_AAID 0x2E0B

Represents an Authenticator Attestation ID as defined in [[UAFProtocol](#)].

TAG_PUB_KEY 0x2E0C

Represents a generated public key.

TAG_COUNTERS 0x2E0D

Represents the use counters for an authenticator.

TAG_ASSERTION_INFO 0x2E0E

Represents authenticator information necessary for message processing.

TAG_AUTHENTICATOR_NONCE 0x2E0F

Represents a nonce value generated by the authenticator.

TAG_TRANSACTION_CONTENT_HASH 0x2E10

Represents a hash of the transaction content sent to the authenticator.

TAG_EXTENSION 0x3E11, 0x3E12

This is a composite tag indicating that the content is an extension.

TAG_EXTENSION_ID 0x2E13

Represents extension ID. Content of this tag is a UINT8[] encoding of a UTF-8 string.

TAG_EXTENSION_DATA 0x2E14

Represents extension data. Content of this tag is a UINT8[] byte array.

TAG_RAW_USER_VERIFICATION_INDEX 0x0103

This is the raw UVI as it might be used internally by authenticators. This TAG **SHALL NOT** appear in assertions leaving the authenticator boundary as it could be used as global correlation handle.

TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_INDEX 0x0104

The user verification index (UVI) is a value uniquely identifying a user verification data record.

Each UVI value **MUST** be specific to the related key (in order to provide unlinkability). It also must contain sufficient entropy that makes guessing impractical. UVI values **MUST NOT** be reused by the Authenticator (for other biometric data or users).

The UVI data can be used by FIDO Servers to understand whether an authentication was authorized by the exact same biometric data as the initial key generation. This allows the detection and prevention of "friendly fraud".

As an example, the UVI could be computed as SHA256(KeyID | SHA256(rawUVI)), where the rawUVI reflects (a) the biometric reference data, (b) the related OS level user ID and (c) an identifier which changes whenever a factory reset is performed for the device, e.g. rawUVI = biometricReferenceData | OSLevelUserID | FactoryResetCounter.

FIDO Servers supporting UVI extensions **MUST** support a length of up to 32 bytes for the UVI value.

Example of the TLV encoded UVI extension (contained in an assertion, i.e. TAG_UAFV1_REG_ASSERTION or TAG_UAFV1_AUTH_ASSERTION)

```
...
04 01                -- TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_INDEX (0x0104)
20                  -- length of UVI
00 43 B8 E3 BE 27 95 8C -- the UVI value itself
28 D5 74 BF 46 8A 85 CF
46 9A 14 F0 E5 16 69 31
DA 4B CF FF C1 BB 11 32
82
...
```

TAG_RAW_USER_VERIFICATION_STATE 0x0105

This is the raw UVS as it might be used internally by authenticators. This TAG **SHALL NOT** appear in assertions leaving the authenticator boundary as it could be used as global correlation handle.

TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_STATE 0x0106

The user verification state (UVS) is a value uniquely identifying the set of active user verification data records.

Each UVS value **MUST** be specific to the related key (in order to provide unlinkability). It also must contain sufficient entropy that makes guessing impractical. UVS values **MUST NOT** be reused by the Authenticator (for other biometric data sets or users).

The UVS data can be used by FIDO Servers to understand whether an authentication was authorized by one of the biometric data records already known at the initial key generation.

As an example, the UVS could be computed as SHA256(KeyID | SHA256(rawUVS)), where the rawUVS reflects (a) the biometric reference data sets, (b) the related OS level user ID and (c) an identifier which changes whenever a factory reset is performed for the device, e.g. rawUVS = biometricReferenceDataSet | OSLevelUserID | FactoryResetCounter.

FIDO Servers supporting UVS extensions **MUST** support a length of up to 32 bytes for the UVS value.

Example of the TLV encoded UVS extension (contained in an assertion, i.e. TAG_UAFV1_REG_ASSERTION or TAG_UAFV1_AUTH_ASSERTION)

```
...
06 01                -- TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_STATE (0x0106)
20                  -- length of UVS
00 18 C3 47 81 73 2B 65 -- the UVS value itself
83 E7 43 31 46 8A 85 CF
93 6C 36 F0 AF 16 69 14
DA 4B 1D 43 FE C7 43 24
45
...
```

TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_CACHING 0x0108

This extension allows an app to specify such user verification caching time, i.e. the time for which the user verification status can be "cached" by the authenticator.

The value of this extension is defined as follows:

TLV Structure		Description
1	UINT16 Tag	TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_CACHING
1.1	UINT16 Length	Length of UVC structure in bytes
1.2	UINT16	maxUVC in seconds
1.3	UINT8	(optional) verifyIfExceeded. If 0(=:false): return error if maxUVC exceeded. If non-zero (=:true): verify user if maxUVC exceeded.

Example of the TLV encoded UVC extension (contained in an authentication request)

```

...
08 01      -- TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_CACHING (0x0108)
05         -- length of UVC
2c 01 00 00 -- the UVC value itself: maxUVC = 0x012c (300 secs),
01         -- followed by verifyIfExceeded = 1 (true)
...

```

TAG_RESIDENT_KEY 0x0109

Is the key resident in the authenticator. The value is a boolean. See section [Require Resident Key Extension](#) for details.

TAG_RESERVED_5 0x0201

Reserved for future use. Name of the tag will change, value is fixed.

5. Predefined Extensions

This section is normative.

5.1 User Verification Method Extension

This extension can be added

- by FIDO Servers to the UAF Request object (request extension) in the `OperationHeader` in order to ask the authenticator for using a specific user verification method and confirm that in the related response extension.
- by FIDO Clients to the ASM Request object (request extension) in order to ask the authenticator for using a specific user verification method and confirm that in the related response extension.
- by ASMs to the authenticator command (request extension) in order to ask the authenticator for using a specific user verification method and confirm that in the related response extension.
- by Authenticators to the assertion generated in response to a request in order to confirm a specific user verification method that was used for the action.

Extension identifier

fido.uaf.uvm

When present in a request (request extension)

Same as described in Authenticator argument.

FIDO Client processing

The client **SHOULD** pass the (request) extension through to the Authenticator.

Authenticator argument

The payload of this extension is an array of:

```
UINT32 userVerificationMethod
```

The array can have multiple entries. Each entry **SHALL** have a single bit flag set. In this case the authenticator **SHALL** verify the user using all (multiple) methods as indicated.

The semantics of the fields are as follows:

userVerificationMethod

The authentication method used by the authenticator to verify the user. Available values are defined in [FIDORegistry], "User Verification Methods" section.

Authenticator processing

The authenticator supporting this extension

1. **SHOULD** limit the user verification methods selectable by the user to the user verification method(s) specified in the request extension.
2. **SHALL** truthfully report the selected user verification method(s) back in the related response extension added to the assertion.

Authenticator data

The payload of this extension is an array of the following structure:

```
UINT32 userVerificationMethod
UINT16 keyProtection
UINT16 matcherProtection
```

The array can have multiple entries describing all user verification methods used.

The semantics of the fields are as follows:

userVerificationMethod

The authentication method used by the authenticator to verify the user. Available values are defined in [FIDORegistry], "User Verification Methods" section.

keyProtection

The method used by the authenticator to protect the FIDO registration private key material. Available values are defined in [FIDORegistry], "Key Protection Types" section. This value has no meaning in the request extension.

matcherProtection

The method used by the authenticator to protect the matcher that performs user verification. Available values are defined in [FIDORegistry], "Matcher Protection Types" section.

Server processing

If the FIDO Server requested the UVM extension,

1. it **SHOULD** verify that a proper response is provided (if client side support can be assumed), and
2. it **SHOULD** verify that the UVM response extension specifies one or more acceptable user verification method(s).

5.2 User ID Extension

This extension can be added

- by FIDO Servers to the UAF Request object (request extension) in the `OperationHeader`.
- by FIDO Clients to the ASM Request object (request extension).
- by ASMs to the `TAG_UAFV1_REGISTER_CMD` object using `TAG_EXTENSION` (request extension).
- by Authenticators to the registration or authentication assertion using `TAG_EXTENSION` (response extension).

The main purpose of this extension is to allow relying parties finding the related user record by an existing index (i.e. the user ID). This user ID is not intended to be displayed.

Authenticators **SHOULD** truthfully indicate support for this extension in their Metadata Statement.

Extension identifier

`fido.uaf.userid`

Extension fail-if-unknown flag

`false`, i.e. this (request and response) extension can safely be ignored by all entities.

Extension data value

Content of this tag is the `UINT8[]` encoding of the user ID as UTF-8 string.

5.3 Android SafetyNet Extension

This extension can be added

- by FIDO Servers to the UAF Request object (request extension) in the `OperationHeader` in order to trigger generation of the related response extension.
- by FIDO Clients to the ASM Request object (request extension) in order to trigger generation of the related response extension.
- by the ASM to the respective `exts` array in the `ASMResponse` object (response extension).
- by the FIDO Client to the respective `exts` array in either the `OperationHeader`, or the `AuthenticatorRegistrationAssertion`, or the `AuthenticatorSignAssertion` of the UAF Response object (response extension).

Extension identifier

`fido.uaf.safetynet`

Extension fail-if-unknown flag

`false`, i.e. this (request and response) extension can safely be ignored by all entities.

Extension data value

When present in a request (request extension)

empty string, i.e. the FIDO Server might add this extension to the UAF Request with an empty `data` value in order to trigger the generation of this extension for the UAF Response.

EXAMPLE 1: SafetyNet Request Extension

```
"exts": [{"id": "fido.uaf.safetynet", "data": "", "fail_if_unknown": false}]
```

When present in a response (response extension)

- If the request extension was successfully processed, the `data` value is set to the JSON Web Signature attestation response as returned by the call to `com.google.android.gms.safetynet.SafetyNetApi.AttestationResponse`.
- If the FIDO Client or the ASM support this extension, but the underlying Android platform does not support it (e.g. Google Play Services is not installed), the `data` value is set to the string "p" (i.e. platform issue).

EXAMPLE 2: SafetyNet Response Extension - not supported by platform

```
"exts": [{"id": "fido.uaf.safetynet", "data": "p", "fail_if_unknown": false}]
```

- If the FIDO Client or the ASM support this extension and the underlying Android platform supports it, but the functionality is temporarily unavailable (e.g. Google servers are unreachable), the `data` value is set to the string "a" (i.e. availability issue).

EXAMPLE 3: SafetyNet Response Extension - temporarily unavailable

```
"exts": [{"id": "fido.uaf.safetynet", "data": "a", "fail_if_unknown": false}]
```

NOTE

If neither the FIDO Client nor the ASM support this extension, it won't be present in the response object.

FIDO Client processing

FIDO Clients running on Android should support processing of this extension.

If the FIDO Client finds this (request) extension with empty `data` value in the UAF Request and it supports processing this extension, then the FIDO Client

1. **MUST** call the Android API `SafetyNet.SafetyNetApi.attest(mGoogleApiClient, nonce)` (see [SafetyNet online documentation](#)) and add the response (or an error code as described above) as extension to the response object.
2. **MUST NOT** copy the (request) extension to the ASM Request object (deviating from the general rule in [UAFProtocol], section 3.4.6.2 and 3.5.7.2).

If the FIDO Client does not support this extension it **MUST** copy this extension from the UAF Request to the ASM Request object

(according to the general rule in [UAFProtocol], section 3.4.6.2 and 3.5.7.2).

If the ASM supports this extension it **MUST** call the SafetyNet API (see above) and add the response as extension to the ASM Response object. The FIDO Client **MUST** copy the extension in the ASM Response to the UAF Response object (according to sections 3.4.6.4. and 3.5.7.4 step 4 in [UAFProtocol]).

When calling the Android API, the nonce parameter **MUST** be set to the serialized JSON object with the following structure:

```
{
  "hashAlg": "S256", // the hash algorithm
  "fcHash": "...", // the finalChallengeHash
}
```

Where

- `hashAlg` identifies the hash algorithm according to [FIDOSignatureFormat], section IANA Considerations.
- `fcHash` is the base64url encoded hash value of FinalChallenge (see section 3.6.3 and 3.7.4 in [UAFASM] for details on how to compute `finalChallengeHash`).

We use this method to bind this SafetyNet extension to the respective FIDO UAF message.

Only hash algorithms belonging to the Authentication Algorithms mentioned in [FIDORegistry] **SHALL** be used (e.g. SHA256 because it belongs to `ALG_SIGN_SECP256R1_ECDSA_SHA256_RAW`).

Authenticator argument

N/A

Authenticator processing

N/A. This extension is related to the Android platform in general and not to the authenticator in particular. As a consequence there is no need for an authenticator to receive the (request) extension nor to process it.

Authenticator data

N/A

Server processing

If the FIDO Server requested the SafetyNet extension,

1. it **SHOULD** verify that a proper response is provided (if client side support can be assumed), and
2. it **SHOULD** verify the SafetyNet AttestationResponse (see [SafetyNet online documentation](#)).

NOTE

The package name in AttestationResponse might relate to either the FIDO Client or the ASM.

NOTE

The response extension is not part of the signed assertion generated by the authenticator. If an MITM or MITB attacker would remove the response extension, the FIDO server might not be able to distinguish this from the "SafetyNet extension not supported by FIDO Client/ASM" case.

5.4 Android Key Attestation

This extension can be added

- by FIDO Servers to the UAF Registration Request object (request extension) in the `OperationHeader` in order to trigger generation of the related response extension.
- by FIDO Clients to the ASM Registration Request object (request extension) in order to trigger generation of the related response extension.
- by the ASM to the respective `exts` array in the `ASMResponse` object related to a registration response (response extension).
- by the FIDO Client to the respective `exts` array in either the `OperationHeader`, or the `AuthenticatorRegistrationAssertion` of the UAF Registration Response object (response extension).

Extension identifier


```
+rMv4ISdMIxSXSir+pb1Nf2bU4GUQZjW8U7ego6ZxWD7bPhGuEBSjZjBkMB0GA1UdDgQWBQQ//KzWGrE6noEguNULHMVlux6RqTA
fBgNVHSMEGDAWgBTIrel3TEXDo88NFhDkeUM6IVowzzASBgNVHRMBAf8ECDAGAQH/AgEAMA4GA1UdDwEB/wQEAWIChDAKBggqhkj
OPQQDAGNIADBFaiBLipt77oK8wDOHri/AiZi03cONqycqRZ9pDMFdktQPjgIhA07aAV229DLp1IQ7YkyUB086fMy9Xvsiu+f+uXc
/WT/7\", \"MIICizCCAjKgAwIBAgIJAKIFntEOQ1tXMAoGCCqGSM49BAMCMIGYMQswCQYDVQQGEwJVUzETMBEGA1UECAwKQ2Fsaw
ZvcM5pYTEWMBQGA1UEBwwNTW91bnRhaW4gVmlldzEVMBMGA1UECgwMR29vZ2x1LlCBJmMuMRAwDgYDVQQLEdBmRyb21kMTMwMQ
YDVQQDDCpBbmRyb21kIEtleXN0b3JlIFNvZnR3YXJlIEF0dGVzdGF0aW9uIFJvb3QwHhcNMTEyMTExMDA0MzUwWhcNMzYwMTA2MD
A0MzUwWjCBMDELMAkGA1UEBhMCVVMxEzARBgNVBAGMCkNhbG1mb3JuaWEwFjAUBGNVBAcMDU1vdW50YWluIFZpZCxtATBGNVBA
oMDEdvb2dsZSwgSW5jLjEQA4GA1UECwwHQW5kcm9pZDEzMDEGA1UEAwqQW5kcm9pZCBLZX1zdG9yZSBtb2Z0d2FyZSBDbHRlc3
RhdGlvbiBSb290MfkwEwYHkoZiZjOCAQYIKoZiZj0DAQcDQgAE71lex+HA220Dpn7mthvsTWpdamguD/9/SQ59dx9EIm29sa/6Fs
vHrcV301acqrewLVQBXt5DKyq0107sSHVbPKNjMGEwHQYDVR00BBYEFMit6XdMRcOjzw0WEOR5QzohWjDPMB8GA1UdIwQYMBaAFM
it6XdMRcOjzw0WEOR5QzohWjDPMA8GA1UdEwEB/wQFMAMBAf8wDgYDVDR0PAQH/BAQDAgKEMAoGCCqGSM49BAMCA0cAMEQCIDUho+
+LNEYenNVg8x1YiSBq3KN1QfYNns6KGYxmSGB7AiBNC/NR2TB8fVvaNTQdqEcby6WFZTytTySn502vQX3xvw==\"],
\"fail_if_unknown\": false}}
```

NOTE

Line-breaks been added for readability.

- If the FIDO Client or the ASM support this extension, but the underlying Android platform does not support it (e.g. Android version doesn't yet support it), the `data` value is set to the string "p" (i.e. platform issue).

EXAMPLE 7: KeyAttestation Response Extension - not supported by platform

```
"exts": [{"id": "fido.uaf.android.key_attestation", "data": "p", "fail_if_unknown": false}]
```

- If the FIDO Client or the ASM support this extension and the underlying Android platform supports it, but the functionality is temporarily unavailable (e.g. Google servers are unreachable), the `data` value is set to the string "a".

EXAMPLE 8: KeyAttestation Response Extension - temporarily unavailable

```
"exts": [{"id": "fido.uaf.android.key_attestation", "data": "a", "fail_if_unknown": false}]
```

NOTE

If neither the FIDO Client nor the ASM support this extension, it won't be present in the response object.

FIDO Client processing

FIDO Clients running on Android **MUST** pass this (request) extension with empty `data` value to the ASM.

If the ASM supports this extension it **MUST** call the KeyStore API (see above) and add the response as extension to the ASM Response object. The FIDO Client **MUST** copy the extension in the ASM Response to the UAF Response object (according to section 3.4.6.4 step 4 in [UAFProtocol]).

More details on Android key attestation can be found at:

- <https://developer.android.com/training/articles/keystore.html>
- <https://developer.android.com/training/articles/security-key-attestation>
- <https://source.android.com/security/keystore/>
- <https://source.android.com/security/keystore/implementer-ref.html>

Authenticator argument

N/A

Authenticator processing

The authenticator generates the attestation response. The call `keyStore.getCertificateChain` is finally processed by the authenticator.

Authenticator data

N/A

Server processing

If the FIDO Server requested the key attestation extension,

1. it **MUST** follow the registration response processing rules (see FIDO UAF Protocol, section 3.4.6.5) before processing this extension
2. it **MUST** verify the syntax of the key attestation extension and it **MUST** perform RFC5280 compliant chain validation of the entries in the array to one `attestationRootCertificate` specified in the Metadata Statement - **accepting that that the `keyCertSign` bit in the key usage extension of the certificate issuing the leaf certificate is NOT set (which is a deviation from RFC5280)**.
3. it **MUST** determine the leaf certificate from that chain, and it **MUST** perform the following checks on this leaf certificate
 1. Verify that `KeyDescription.attestationChallenge == FCHash` (see FIDO UAF Protocol, section 3.4.6.5 Step 6.)
 2. Verify that the public key included in the leaf certificate is identical to the public key included in the FIDO UAF Surrogate attestation block
 3. If the related Metadata Statement claims `keyProtection KEY_PROTECTION_TEE`, then refer to `KeyDescription.teeEnforced` using "authzList". If the related Metadata Statement claims `keyProtection KEY_PROTECTION_SOFTWARE`, then refer to `KeyDescription.softwareEnforced` using "authzList".
 4. Verify that
 1. `authzList.origin == KM_TAG_GENERATED`
 2. `authzList.purpose == KM_PURPOSE_SIGN`
 3. `authzList.keySize` is acceptable, i.e. =2048 (bit) RSA or =256 (bit) ECDSA.
 4. `authzList.digest == KM_DIGEST_SHA_2_256`.
 5. `authzList.userAuthType` only contains acceptable user verification methods.
 6. `authzList.authTimeout == 0` (or *not* present).
 7. `authzList.noAuthRequired` is *not* present (unless the Metadata Statement marks this authenticator as silent authenticator, i.e. `userVerification` set to `USER_VERIFY_NONE`).
 8. `authzList.allApplications` is *not* present, since FIDO Uauth keys **MUST** be bound to the generating app (AppID).

NOTE

The response extension is not part of the signed assertion generated by the authenticator. If an MITM or MITB attacker would remove the response extension, the FIDO server might not be able to distinguish this from the "KeyAttestation extension not supported by ASM/Authenticator" case.

ExtensionDescriptor `data` value (for Metadata Statement)

In the case of `extension id="fido.uaf.android.key_attestation"`, the `data` field of the `ExtensionDescriptor` as included in the Metadata Statement will contain a dictionary containing the following data fields

DOMString `attestationRootCertificates[]`

Each element of this array represents a PKIX [RFC5280] X.509 certificate that is valid for this authenticator model. Multiple certificates might be used for different batches of the same model. The array does not represent a certificate chain, but only the trust anchor of that chain.

Each array element is a base64-encoded (section 4 of [RFC4648]), DER-encoded [ITU-X690-2008] PKIX certificate value.

NOTE

A certificate listed here is either a root certificate or an intermediate CA certificate.

NOTE

The field `data` is specified with type `DOMString` in [FIDOMetadataStatement] and hence will contain the serialized object as described above.

An example for the `supportedExtensions` field in the Metadata Statement could look as follows (with line breaks to improve readability):

EXAMPLE 9: Example of a `supportedExtensions` field in Metadata Statement

```
"supportedExtensions": [{
  "id": "fido.uaf.android.key attestation",
  "data": "{ \"attestationRootCertificates\": [
    \\\"MIICPTCCBaeOgAwIBAgIJJA0uexvU30y2wMAoGCCqGSM49BAMCMHsxIDAeBgNVBAMM
    F1NhbXBsZSBBdHRlc3RhdGlvbiBSb290MRYwFAyDVQKDA1GSURFIEFsbG1hbmNl
    MREwDwYDVQQLDAhVQUYgVGFhLDESMBAGAlUEBwwJUGFsbYBBbHRvMQswCQYDVQQL
    DAJDQTElMAkGAlUEBhMCMVVMwHhcNMTQwNjE4MTMzMzMyWhcNNDExMTAzMTMzMzMy
    WjB7MSAwHgYDVQDDbDdTYW1wbGUgQXR0ZXN0YXRpb24gUm9vdDEWMBQGA1UECgwN
    Rk1LETyBBBhGxpYW5jZTERMA8GA1UECwwIVUFGIFRFRXRYwEjAQBgNVBACMCVBhhG8g
    QWx0b2ZELMAkGAlUECAwCQ0ExCzAJBgNVBAYTA1VTMFkwEwYHKoZIzj0CAQYIKoZI
    zj0DAQcDQgAEH8hv2D0HXa59/BmpQ7RZehL/FMGzFd1QBg9vAUpOZ3ajnuQ94PR7
    aMzH33nUSBx8fHYDrqOBb58pxGgHJRyX/6NQME4wHQYDVR0OBBYEFfPoHA3CLhxFb
    C0It7zE4w8hk5EJ/MB8GA1UdIwQYMBaAFPoHA3CLhxFbC0It7zE4w8hk5EJ/MAwG
    A1UdEwQFMAMBAf8wCgYIKoZIzj0EAwIDSAAAwRQIhA06QSxt9ihIbEKYKIjsPkri
    VdLIgtfsbDSu7ErJfzr4AiBqoYCF0+zI55aQeAHjIzA9Xm63rruAxZ9ps9z2XN
    lQ==\"}]\",
  \"fail_if_unknown\": false
}]
```

5.5 User Verification Caching

In several cases it is good enough for the relying party to know whether the user was verified by the authenticator "some time" ago. This extension allows an app to specify such user verification caching time, i.e. the time for which the user verification status can be "cached" by the authenticator.

For example: Do not ask the user for a fresh user verification to authorize a payment of 4€ if the user was verified by this authenticator within the past 300 seconds.

This extension allows the authenticator to bridge the gap between a "silent" authenticator, i.e. an authenticator never verifying the user and a "traditional" authenticator, i.e. an authenticator always asking for fresh user verification.

We formally define one extension for the request and a separate extension for the response as the request extension can be safely ignored, but the response extension cannot.

Authenticator supporting this extension **MUST** truthfully specify both, the UVC Request and UVC Response extension in the `supportedExtensions` list of the related Metadata Statement [[FIDOMetadataStatement](#)]. The TAG of the UVC Response extension must be specified in that list.

5.5.1 UVC Request

This extension can be added by FIDO Servers to the UAF Request object (request extension) in the `OperationHeader` in order to trigger generation of the related response extension.

Extension Identifier

fido.uaf.uvc-req

Extension fail-if-unknown flag

`false`, i.e. the `request` extension can safely be ignored by all entities.

UVC Extension data value

A (base64url-encoded) TLV object as defined in the description of `TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_CACHING`. In the UVC Extension provided through the DOM API [[UAFAppAPIAndTransport](#)], the field `verifyIfExceeded` **MAY NOT** be present. The FIDO Client **MAY** add the field `verifyIfExceeded` in order to improve processing.

FIDO Client processing

- In a registration request: Simple pass-through to the platform preferred authenticator.
- In a sign request: Simple pass-through to an authenticator which would *not* require fresh user verification and still meets all other authentication selection criteria (if such authenticator exists). If this is not possible, then use the preferred authenticator (as normal) but pass-through this extension.

Authenticator argument

Same TLV object as defined in "Extension data value", but as binary object included in the Registration / Authentication command.

Authenticator processing

In a registration request:

The Authenticator **MUST** always freshly verify the user and create a key marked with the maximum user verification caching time as specified (referred to as **regMaxUVC**), i.e. in signAssertion the acceptable maximum user verification time can never exceed this value. The field (**verifyIfExceeded**) is not allowed in a registration request.

In a sign request:

If the authenticator supports specifying user verification caching time in a sign request:

1. compute **maxUVC** = $\min(\text{maxUVC}, \text{regMaxUVC})$
2. compute **elapsedTime**, i.e. the time since last user verification.
3. If (**elapsedTime** > **maxUVC**) AND **verifyIfExceeded**==false then return error
4. If (**elapsedTime** > **maxUVC**) AND ((**verifyIfExceeded**==true)OR(**verifyIfExceeded** is NOT PRESENT)) then verify user
5. If (**elapsedTime** ≤ **maxUVC**) then Sign the assertion as normal
6. Add the [UVC Response](#) extension to the assertion.

If the authenticator does not support specifying user verification caching time in a sign request, this extension will be ignored by the authenticator. This will be detected by the server since no extension output will be generated by the authenticator.

Authenticator data

N/A

Server processing

N/A

5.5.2 UVC Response

This extension can be added by the Authenticator to the **AuthenticatorRegistrationAssertion**, or the **AuthenticatorSignAssertion** of the UAF Response object (response extension).

Extension Identifier

fidouaf.uvc-resp (TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_CACHING)

Extension fail-if-unknown flag

true, i.e. the **response** extension (included in the UAF assertion) **MAY NOT** be ignored if unknown. If the server is not prepared to process the UVC response extension, it **MUST** fail.

Extension data value

N/A

FIDO Client processing

N/A

Authenticator argument

N/A

Authenticator processing

N/A

Authenticator data

If the extension is supported and the request extension was received and evaluated during the respective call, then the binary TLV object as described in the description of **TAG_USER_VERIFICATION_CACHING** will be included in the assertion generated by the Authenticator.

Where the field **maxUVC** contains an upper bound of **trueUVC** and where the field **verifyIfExceeded** will **not** be present.

The upper bound value is to be computed as follows:

1. Compute the elapsed seconds since last user verification (=:**trueUVC**).
2. Compute some upper bound of **trueUVC**, must not exceed $\min(\text{command.maxUVC}, \text{regMaxUVC})$.

Where **command.maxUVC** refers to the **maxUVC** value of the related [UVC Request](#).

Where **regMaxUVC** is the **maxUVC** value specified in the related registration call (see above) or 0 if no such value was provided at registration time.

For example, use $\min(\text{maxUVC}, \text{createMaxUVC})$ or $\min(\text{round trueUVC to 5 seconds}, \text{maxUVC}, \text{createMaxUVC})$.

Server processing

If the FIDO Server requested the UVC extension,

1. Verify that the Metadata Statement related to this Authenticator indicates support for this extension in the field `supportedExtensions`
2. Verify that `assertion.maxUVC` is less or equal to `request.maxUVC`, fail if it isn't.
3. Verify that `assertion.maxUVC` is acceptable, fail if it isn't.

If the FIDO Server did not request the UVC extension (but encounters it in the response) or if the server doesn't understand the UVC response extension, it **MUST** fail.

5.5.3 Privacy Considerations

Using the UVC Request extension with `verifyIfExceeded` set to `FALSE` might allow the caller to triage the last time the user was verified without requiring any input from the user and without notifying the user. We do not allow this field to be set through the DOM API (i.e. by web pages). However, native applications can use this field and hence could be able to determine the last time the user was verified. Native applications have substantially more permissions and hence can have more detailed knowledge about the user's behavior than web pages (e.g. track whether the device is used by evaluating accelerometers).

In the UVC Response extension the Authenticator can provide an upper bound of the `trueUVC` value in order to prevent disclosure of exact time of user verification.

5.5.4 Security Considerations

FIDO Servers not expecting user verification being used, might expect a fresh user verification and an explicit user consent being provided. Authenticators supporting this extension shall only use it when they are asked for that (i.e. UVC Request extension is present). Additionally the authenticator must indicate if the user was *not* freshly verified using the UVC Response extension. This response extension is marked with "fail-if-unknown" set to true, to make sure that servers receiving this extension know that the user might not have been freshly verified.

5.6 Require Resident Key Extension

This extension is intended to simplify the integration of authenticators implementing [FIDOCTAP] with FIDO UAF [UAFProtocol].

Extension Identifier

`fido.uaf.rk` (TAG_RESIDENT_KEY)

Extension fail-if-unknown flag

`false`, i.e. the extension **MAY** be ignored if unknown.

Extension data value

boolean, i.e. `rk=true` or `rk=false`.

FIDO Client processing

N/A

Authenticator argument

boolean, i.e. `rk=true` or `rk=false`.

Authenticator processing

If the authenticator supports this extension, it should

1. persistently store the credential's cryptographic key material internally if `rk=true`
2. NOT persistently store the credential's cryptographic key material internally if `rk=false`

NOTE

It is expected that

1. authenticators with `isSecondFactorOnly=false` in their Metadata Statement will persistently store the credential's cryptographic key material internally if the extension is missing.
2. authenticators with `isSecondFactorOnly=true` in their Metadata Statement will NOT persistently store the credential's cryptographic key material internally if the extension is missing.

Authenticator data

boolean, i.e. `rk=true` or `rk=false` in an assertion, indicating whether the current credential is resident in the authenticator or not.

Server processing

A response extension `fidouaf.rk` set to `false` indicates that the FIDO Server needs to provide a `keyHandle` for triggering authentication. This means that the authenticator can only be used as a second factor (see also `isSecondFactorOnly` in [\[FIDOMetadataStatement\]](#)).

If the FIDO Server did not request the `fidouaf.rk` extension (but encounters it in the response) or if the server doesn't understand the `fidouaf.rk` response extension, it can silently ignore the extension.

5.7 Attestation Conveyance Extension

This extension is intended to simplify the integration of authenticators implementing [\[FIDOCTAP\]](#) with FIDO UAF [\[UAFProtocol\]](#).

Extension Identifier

`fidouaf.ac`

Extension fail-if-unknown flag

`false`, i.e. the extension `MAY` be ignored if unknown.

Extension data value

string, i.e. `ac='direct'`, `ac='indirect'`, or `ac='none'`.

FIDO Client processing

If the `ac` value is

direct

the FIDO Client `SHALL` pass-through the attestation statement as received from the Authenticator.

indirect

the FIDO Client `SHALL` either

1. pass-through the attestation statement as received from the Authenticator or
2. replace the attestation statement received from the Authenticator using some anonymization CA.

none

the FIDO Client `SHALL` remove the attestation statement received from the Authenticator.

Authenticator argument

N/A

Authenticator processing

If the authenticator supports this extension, it should

1. return an attestation statement according to the conveyance indicated.

Authenticator data

N/A (only indirectly through the generated attestation statement)

Server processing

The server should verify the attestation statement if it asked for it (i.e. `ac='direct'` or `ac='indirect'`).

If the FIDO Server specified `ac='none'`, but received an attestation statement, it can silently ignore it.

6. Other Identifiers specific to FIDO UAF

6.1 FIDO UAF Application Identifier (AID)

This AID [\[ISOIEC-7816-5\]](#) is used to identify FIDO UAF authenticator applications in a Secure Element.

The FIDO UAF AID consists of the following fields:

Field	RID	AC	AX
Value	0xA000000647	0xAF	0x0001

Table 1: FIDO UAF Applet AID

A. References

A.1 Normative references

[\[FIDOGlossary\]](#)

R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill; J. Hodges. *FIDO Technical Glossary*. Review Draft. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-v2.0-id-20180227/fido-glossary-v2.0-id-20180227.html>

[FIDOMetadataStatement]

B. Hill; D. Baghdasaryan; J. Kemp. *FIDO Metadata Statements*. Review Draft. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-v2.0-id-20180227/fido-metadata-statement-v2.0-id-20180227.html>

[FIDORegistry]

R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill. *FIDO Registry of Predefined Values*. Proposed Standard. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/common-specs/fido-registry-v2.1-ps-20191217.html>

[ISOIEC-7816-5]

. *ISO 7816-5: Identification cards - Integrated circuit cards - Part 5: Registration of application providers*. URL:

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. March 1997. Best Current Practice. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119>

A.2 Informative references

[FIDOCTAP]

C. Brand; A. Czeskis; J. Ehrensward; M. Jones; A. Kumar; R. Lindemann; A. Powers; J. Verrept. *FIDO 2.0: Client To Authenticator Protocol*. 30 January 2019. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-v2.0-ps-20190130/fido-client-to-authenticator-protocol-v2.0-ps-20190130.html>

[FIDOSignatureFormat]

. *FIDO 2.0: Signature format*. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-v2.0-ps-20150904/fido-signature-format-v2.0-ps-20150904.html>

[ITU-X690-2008]

. *X.690: Information technology - ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER)*, (T-REC-X.690-200811). November 2008. URL: <https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.690-200811-S>

[RFC4648]

S. Josefsson. *The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings (RFC 4648)*. October 2006. URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4648.txt>

[RFC5280]

D. Cooper; S. Santesson; S. Farrell; S. Boeyen; R. Housley; W. Polk. *Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile*. May 2008. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5280>

[UAFASM]

D. Baghdasaryan; J. Kemp; R. Lindemann; B. Hill; R. Sasson. *FIDO UAF Authenticator-Specific Module API*. Review Draft. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-ps-20201020/fido-uaf-asm-api-v1.2-ps-20201020.html>

[UAFAppAPIAndTransport]

B. Hill; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Blanke. *FIDO UAF Application API and Transport Binding Specification*. Review Draft. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-ps-20201020/fido-uaf-client-api-transport-v1.2-ps-20201020.html>

[UAFProtocol]

R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; E. Tiffany; D. Balfanz; B. Hill; J. Hodges; K. Yang. *FIDO UAF Protocol Specification v1.2*. Review Draft. URL: <https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-ps-20201020/fido-uaf-protocol-v1.2-ps-20201020.html>